

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nayolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/410,462	10/01/1999	ANGELICA WILLIAMS	ONYX1046-ORD	6889
37499 7590 03/20/2012 ONYX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 249 E. Grand Avenue			EXAMINER	
			ANGELL, JON E	
South San Francisco, CA 94080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2012	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandonment	09/410,462	WILLIAMS ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	J. E. ANGELL	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	failing or Transmission dated					
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	nendment which places the				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) No reply has been received.						
(a) Into reply has been received.						
. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
<ul> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was        ), which is after the expiration of the statutory per         Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		CFR 1.18(d), is \$				
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
☑ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on 10 January 2012 and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:						
See Continuation Sheet						
	/J. E. ANGELL/					
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit	1 1635				
	1					

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

US Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: MPEP 2114.06 states: I. NO CLAIMS STAND ALLOWED: The proceedings in an application or ex parte reexamination proceeding are terminated as of the date of the expiration of the time for filling court action. The application is no longer considered as pending. It is to be stamped abandoned and sent to abandoned files. In an ex parte reexamination proceeding, a reexamination certificate should be issued under 37 CFR 1.570.

Claims indicated as allowable prior to appeal except for their dependency from rejected claims will be treated as if they were rejected. The following examples illustrate the appropriate approach to be taken by the examiner in various situations:

(A) If claims 1-2 are pending, and the Board affirms a rejection of claim 1 and claim 2 was objected to prior to appeal as being allowable except for its dependency from claim 1, the examiner should hold the application abandoned.